UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,196	04/09/2004	Takehiro Kaminagayoshi	418268014US	2318
45979 PERKINS COI	7590 02/27/200 E LLP/MSFT	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 124	7		D'AGOSTINO, PAUL ANTHONY	
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/822,196	KAMINAGAYOSHI, TAKEHIRO		
	Examiner	Art Unit		

	Paul A. D'Agostino	3714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Applifor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time						
	periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TV							
	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	liana a with 27 OFD 44 27 mount had						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was a property. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS	but prior to the data of filing a brief						
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		, ,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a •	corresponding number of finally reje	octed claims					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		cied ciairris.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			,				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-40</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
EQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 1. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>Given the issue of new matter and the fact that all Applicant arguments are drawn to the claim amendments, the finality of the last Office Action stands.</u>							
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
/John M Hotaling II/	/Paul A. D'Agostino/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714	Examiner, Art Unit 3714						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Examiner cannnot locate support for Amended claim language of "wherein the first player is unaware of a transition of the character's control and wherein the first player is substantially unaware of which character's control may be transtioned to the second player.